# MHHS SIT Advisory Group (SITAG) Headline Report

## Issue date: 21/02/2025

| Meeting number | **SITAG 013** | Venue | **Virtual – MS Teams** |
|---|---|---|---|
| Date and time | **19 February 2025 10:00-12:00** | Classification | **Public** |

## Actions

| Area | Action Ref | Action | Owner | Due Date |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | |

## Decisions

| Area | Decision Ref | Description | Rationale |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Minutes and Actions** | SITAG-DEC53 | The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the headline report of the previous meeting with no amendments. | The Programme invited objections to the approval of the document, to which none were received. |
| **Settlement Testing** | SITAG-DEC53 | The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the conclusion of Settlement testing activity in the SIT-B environment and allow the environment to be used to support SIT Non-Functional and SIT Operational test phases. | The Programme invited objections to the approval of the conclusion of Settlement testing activity in the SIT-B environment, to which none were received. |

## Key Discussion Items

| Area | Discussion |
|---|---|
| **Minutes and Actions** | **DECISION**: The headline report of the previous meeting was approved with no amendments (**SITAG-DEC53 – recording timestamp 00:02:11**). |
| **Settlement Testing** | Programme summarised the status of settlement testing in SIT B. Programme mentioned that testing has been ongoing since June 17th, with three phases totalling 32 weeks. Programme highlighted the progress made, including the completion of all set one tests and the passing of the last remaining set two accuracy test. Also noting that there are still some tests running this week and a few more settlement tests to run post the decision to exit SIT B. |

| | |
|---|---|
| | Ofgem Representative asked a question about ST0014, specifically whether it needs to be proven in SIT B or if SIT B testing is just for extra confidence. Programme responded that while it would be ideal to get all passes, environmental and data conditions are making it difficult. Programme proposed leveraging mitigations and noted that the crucial part is that it passed functional testing.

Supplier Agent Representative (Independent Supplier Agent) asked if the change of market segment tests included a change of connection type. SITAG confirmed that they did include the change of connection type.

Programme addressed the status of the set three market role qualification tests, noting that not all tests were completed by the end of Sprint 11, with seven cohorts still having outstanding tests. However, one more cohort passed recently, bringing the total to two. Programme explained that the remaining tests would be transferred to the SIT B environment for completion alongside the CoS settlement tests in mid-May. Programme emphasised that the aim is to reduce the number of outstanding tests by the end of the week and that the current risk profile is acceptable.

Ofgem Representative asked for clarification on the process if some tests are still running by the decision date. They inquired whether a report would be provided on the status of those tests and if they would be revisited after non-functional testing. Programme confirmed that all remaining tests would be run through to the end of the week, and a final position would be presented. Any remaining set three tests that are not passed would be transferred to the SIT B environment for completion. Programme assured that the core functionality has been proven, and the remaining tests would not impact the start of migration and system readiness.

SITAG chair then moved to the decision, asking if there were any objections to concluding settlement testing activity in SIT B and moving to non-functional and operational testing. There were no objections, and the recommendation was approved.

The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the conclusion of Settlement testing activity in the SIT-B environment and allow the environment to be used to support SIT Non-Functional and SIT Operational test phases (**SITAG-DEC54 – recording timestamp 00:23:06**). |
| **SIT Test Progress Update** | Programme provided a detailed update on the progress of SIT functional and migration testing.

- 97% of the tests have been passed by one or more cohorts, with 98.4% of requirements across the design executed and passed.
- Five of the eight cohorts have achieved 100% pass in SIT migration, and two cohorts have achieved over 85% in sit functional testing.
- Emphasised the importance of collaboration between paired cohorts and noted that the number of open defects has been steadily decreasing, indicating growing stability in the overall solution.

Programme then provided an update on SIT operational testing.

- Noting that they encountered some unexpected levels of functional defects early on but have since resolved most of them.
- Testing is now seeing more defects related to process and knowledge sections and are working through them.
- Highlighting that they are running three unscripted tests in the service management area to further test processes, people, and knowledge, adding that the unscripted tests would be initiated on Monday and may reveal potential issues not found in the scripted test cases.

Large Supplier Representative asked if there are any acceptance criteria for the early set of operational tests or if other activities can overlap even if these tests don't conclude. Programme responded that there shouldn't be any impact in terms of exit criteria or needing to run these |

| | |
|---|---|
| | tests before others, as these are generally process tests. Programme added that the governance and exit from this testing are being looked at, and since this is process testing outside the SIT B environment, there is no dependency on settlement and non-functional testing. |
| | Supplier Agent Representative (Independent Supplier Agent) inquired about a defect related to Elexon needing to become a SEC party. Programme explained that there was a test case involving DCC notifying Elexon of changes via email, which can't happen unless Elexon is a SEC party. This issue has been raised as a defect, and discussions with BSCCo have been ongoing since last November to find a resolution. |
| **Overarching SIT NFT Readiness Report Theme 1** | Programme provided an update on Overarching SIT NFT Readiness Report Theme 1 ahead of eSITAG approval on 28 February 2025. No comments or questions raised by SITAG members. |
| **Regression Approach** | Programme provided an update on the eSITWG meeting held the previous day. Programme discussed the industry review of the SIT regression test approach and plan, which received 209 comments. A version 0.2 of the plan was sent out in advance of the meeting, and a version 0.3 will be issued based on the discussion. Programme explained that the scope of regression testing will be evaluated using a scoring approach, and a core regression test pack will be created. |
| | Avanade Representative asked about the percentage of available voters who provided input and suggested bilaterals with those who didn't express any input. Programme agreed to hold bilateral meetings as needed before the eSITAG meeting at the end of February. |
| | RECCo Representative inquired about the timeline for version 0.3 and whether it would be ready for the eSITAG on the 28 February. Programme confirmed that they are proceeding as planned unless there are material concerns from bilaterals. RECCo Representative also asked about the timeline for the actual test pack, and Programme explained that the framework would be discussed at the next SITWG. |
| | Large Supplier Representative sought clarity on the recommendation process and whether there would be another round of recommendation discussion. Programme explained that the focus would be on addressing concerns from the bilaterals and that the document would go out as version 0.3 for feedback before eSITAG. |
| | Avanade Representative emphasised the need for extra data points before assuming a recommendation, and Programme clarified that the working group is not a formal voting group but ensures confidence in deliverables for approval. Programme mentioned that they wouldn't want to hold up the process if they have enough of a recommendation and actions to remedy concerns. |
| | Programme added that the document will go out as version 0.3 by email, and feedback will be gathered by correspondence. Any bilaterals will be conducted to improve confidence with those who voiced concerns. |
| | SITAG Chair noted that version 0.3 will be going to the eSITAG, and it's up to the constituency reps to talk to their constituencies about any objections they want to raise. |
| **IPA Updates** | IPA provided an update on test assurance. No comments or questions raised by SITAG members. |
| **SIT PoaP** | Programme provided an overview of the SIT PoaP. |
| | RECCo Representative requested an updated SIT PoaP to be uploaded to the collaboration base as the current version shows the plan pre-CR055. Programme acknowledged the request and detailed that it had already been flagged internally for an update. |

| | |
|---|---|
| **Programme Milestones related to SITAG** | The Programme ran through Programme Milestones related to SITAG. No comments or questions were raised from SITAG members. |
| **Top Programme Risks related to SITAG** | The Programme introduced the top programme risks related to SITAG. No comments or questions were raised from SITAG members. |
| **Summary and Next Steps** | The Secretariat confirmed the actions and decisions captured in the meeting. No comments or questions were raised from SITAG members. |

**Date of next meeting: 28 February 2025**

## Attendees

Chair

Chris Welby                                          MHHS SRO

### Industry Representatives

Chris Sanders (on behalf of Stacey Buck)             IDNO Representative
Graham Wood                                          Large Supplier Representative
James Murphy                                         Supplier Agent Representative
                                                     (Independent Supplier Agent)
Jonny Moore                                          RECCo Representative
Lijo Louis                                           Medium Supplier Representative
Rinu Varghese (on behalf of Santosh Vasudevan)       Elexon Representative
Shaun Brundrett                                      Small Supplier Representative
Terri Hamilton                                       DNO Representative

### MHHS

Anne Robinson                                        PMO Governance Support
David O'Riordan                                      NFT Lead
Dominic Mooney                                       Test Manager
Jason Brogden                                        Programme Industry Expert
John Wiggins                                         Programme Industry SME
Keith Clark                                          LDP Programme Manager
Kiran Raj                                            SIT Functional Test Lead
Paul Pettitt                                         Design Management Lead
Roger Robar                                          Test Management Lead
Smitha Pichrikat                                     Client Delivery Manager
Stuart Scott                                         Programme Industry SME

### Other Attendees

Andy MacFaul                                         Ofgem
Jenny Boothe                                         Ofgem
Paul Daniels                                         Avanade
Taylor Thorpe                                        IPA

## Apologies

Gavin Gallimore                                      DCC Representative
Richard Marriot                                      NGESO Representative
*Confirmed not filling seat*                         I&C Supplier Representative
*Confirmed not filling seat*                         Consumer Representative